

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/664,565	09/18/2000	Tejas Naik	2	4575
26291 7	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P.			NGUYEN, HANH N	
595 SHREWSBURY AVE, STE 100 FIRST FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2662	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/664,565	NAIK, TEJAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hanh Nguyen	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Pagagains to communication(a) filed on P	000000 Floriday 0/40/04					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Response filed on 9/10/04</u> .						
<i>′</i> _	,—	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
-							

Application/Control Number: 09/664,565

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US Pat. No. 6,707,796 B1) in view of **D'Souza** (US Pat. No. 6,173,324 B1).

In claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, **Li** discloses, in Fig.4, a network of existing routers running OSPF (backbone network 401, Fig.4, col.6, lines 52-67 & col.1, lines 30-40) comprises existing routers (routers 402, 404,406). Refer to Fig.7, step 706, a router (a MSP router) is added to the network. The added router (the MSP router) is determined whether to be an area border router (designated MSP router, see step 708). If the added router (MSP router) is the area border router (designated MSP router), establishing a virtual link (step 714, fig.4) through the border router to network. See col.10, lines 1-25 & col.7, lines 44-55. **Li** does not disclose if a virtual link can not be established through the router, establishing a virtual link through a neigbor router to the backbone.

D'Souza discloses if a virtual link (a route) can not be established through said router (new added router into network 10, Fig.1, see col.2, lines 55-60), establishing a virtual link through a neighbor of said router (new neighboring routers, col.4, lines 5-20) to the network backbone See col.3, lines 25-45 & col.4, lines 5-20. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one ordinary skilled in the art to establish virtual link in Li via neighbor routers as taught by D'Souza if a newly added router does not have connection with the network.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 09/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that D'Souza does not disclose a new virtual link established through a neighbor router.

Applicant is directed to **D'Souza** which discloses if a virtual link (a route) can not be established through said router (new added router into network 10, Fig.1, see col.2, lines 55-60), establishing a virtual link through a neighbor of said router (new neighboring routers, col.4, lines 5-20) to the network backbone See col.3, lines 25-45 & col.4, lines 5-20.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/664,565

Art Unit: 2662

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 4

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092 The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can

also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 6, 2005